

Appendix 9.C: Preliminary Assessment of Effects on Views

This Appendix relates generally to Chapter 9 LVIA of the EIA and specifically to Section 10: Assessment of Effects on Representative Viewpoints and Section 9.11: Assessment of Effects on Principal Visual Receptors.

Effects on views are the changes to views that result from the introduction of the Revised Consented Development. The assessment of effects on views is carried out in two parts:

- The assessment of effects on representative viewpoints that represent visibility of the Revised Consented Development from around the study area; and
- The assessment of effects on principal visual receptors such as settlements, roads, and core paths.

The first step in both parts of this assessment is a filtering process to ascertain which of the representative viewpoints and principal visual receptors have potential to be significantly affected by visibility of the Revised Consented Development, which comprises up to 21 turbines each with a maximum tip height of 149.9 m. Two tables that show this process, including a brief description of the assessment for each viewpoint and principal visual receptor, are presented below. The representative viewpoints and principal visual receptors that are considered to have potential to undergo a significant effect as a result of the Revised Consented Development are shown shaded in the tables and are assessed in full in Chapter 9 LVIA of the EIA Report at Section 10 Assessment of Effects on Representative Viewpoints and Section 9.11: Assessment of Effects on Principal Visual Receptors.

Appendix 9.C - Table 1: Assessment of Effects on Representative Viewpoints

Viewpoint	Comments	Further assessment required?
1. Drum Hollistan Layby, A836	The full extent of the Revised Consented Development will be visible from this viewpoint at a minimum distance of 4.54 km.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility and proximity of the Revised Consented Development; • Orientation of the view towards the Revised Consented Development.
2. Reay Footpath	The Revised Consented Development will be visible from a minimum distance of 2.68 km and will be in the direct line of view.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visibility of the Revised Consented Development in the direct orientation of the view; • Close range of the proposed. Development and high level of visibility; • Sensitivity of local residents.
3. Reay Church, A836	The full Revised Consented Development will be seen from a minimum distance of 2.93 km, mainly as full height turbines with some hubs and blades.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility and proximity of the Revised Consented Development; • Sensitivity of local residents.
4. Shebster	The full Revised Consented Development will be seen from a minimum distance of 3.76 km, mainly as full height turbines with some hubs and blades.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility and proximity of the Revised Consented Development; • Existing close range visibility of Baillie Hill Wind Farm.
5. Sandside Bay Harbour	The full Revised Consented Development will be seen from a minimum distance of 4.32 km, mainly as full	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility and proximity of the Revised Consented Development.

Viewpoint	Comments	Further assessment required?
	height turbines with some hubs and blades.	
6. A836 / Dounreay Road Junction	The full Revised Consented Development will be seen from a minimum distance of 5.09 km, mainly as full height turbines with some hubs and blades.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility and proximity of the Revised Consented Development; • Orientation of view towards the Revised Consented Development; • Existing close range visibility of Baillie Hill Wind Farm and Dounreay Nuclear Power Plant.
7. Strathy Point Car Park	The full Revised Consented Development will be seen from a minimum distance of 15.73 km, mainly as hubs and blades.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited visibility of the Revised Consented Development; • Principal orientation of the view out across North Atlantic and not towards the Revised Consented Development; • Distance of the Revised Consented Development from the viewpoint, which ensures that the parts of turbines that are visible will be minor components in the view.
8. Angler's Car Park, Loch Calder	The Revised Consented Development will be visible from this viewpoint at a minimum distance of 8.02 km. Full height turbines will be visible with some hubs and blades.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility and proximity of the Revised Consented Development; • Sensitivity of this location as a visitor and recreational attraction.
9. Ben Dorrery	The Revised Consented Development will be visible from a minimum distance of 8.62 km, mainly as full height turbines with some hubs and blades.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility and proximity of the Revised Consented Development; • Elevated view afforded over surrounding area
10. Minor Road Lythmore Junction	The Revised Consented Development will be seen at a minimum distance of 7.99 km, mainly as full height turbines with some hubs and blades.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visibility of the Revised Consented Development; • Existing close range visibility of Baillie Hill Wind Farm
11. Georgemas Junction Station	The Revised Consented Development will be seen at a minimum distance of 16.20 km, mostly as hubs and blades.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of sensitivity of roadside view; • Lack of specific orientation of the view; • Distance of the Revised Consented Development from the viewpoint, which ensures that the parts of turbines that are visible will be minor components in the view.
12. A9 north of Spittal	The Revised Consented Development will be visible from this viewpoint at a minimum distance of 17.99 km.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distance of the Revised Consented Development from the viewpoint, which ensures that the parts of turbines that are visible will be minor components in the view; • Lack of sensitivity of roadside view; • Lack of specific orientation of the view.
13. Dunnet Head	The Revised Consented Development will be visible from this elevated viewpoint at a minimum distance of	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited visibility of the Revised Consented Development;

Viewpoint	Comments	Further assessment required?
	26.24 km, partly backclothed by landform.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principal orientation of the view out across North Atlantic and not towards Revised Consented Development; Distance of the Revised Consented Development from the viewpoint, which ensures that the parts of turbines that are visible will be minor components in the view.
14. Borlum Hill	The Revised Consented Development will be visible from 1.56 km, all turbines will be visible at full height and in close proximity from this elevated location.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The very close proximity of the Revised Consented Development and high level of visibility; Contrast with the setting.
15. Beinn Ratha	The Revised Consented Development will be visible from a minimum distance of 1.54 km. All turbines will be visible at full height and in close proximity from an elevated location. Blade tips will be visible above the skyline.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The very close proximity of the Revised Consented Development and high level of visibility; Contrast with the setting.
16. Shurrery	Hubs and some upper towers are visible above the skyline, from 5.94 km away.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visibility of the Revised Consented Development Existing visibility of Baillie Hill Wind Farm
17. A836, Hill of Forss	Blades, Hubs and some upper towers are visible above the skyline, from 10.34 km away. The Revised Consented Development is viewed behind and largely within the visual envelope of Baillie Hill.	Yes; there is potential for a significant effect due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visibility of the Revised Consented Development The orientation of the view towards the Revised Consented Development
18. Broubster Forest Core Path	While a small number of blades are theoretically visible, these will be screened by the intervening forestry along the ridge.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited visibility of the Revised Consented Development; Screening effect of intervening forestry; Existing visibility of Baillie Hill Wind Farm.

Appendix 9.C - Table 2: Assessment of Effects on Principal Visual Receptors

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
Settlements		
Achreamie	The ZTV indicates that there is visibility from a distance of 5.5 km.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The intervening landform of the Hill of Shebster; The existing and close range influence of Baillie Hill Wind Farm and Forss Wind Farm.
Armadale	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this settlement.	No, due to lack of visibility.

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
Bettyhill	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this settlement.	No, due to lack of visibility.
Castletown	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this settlement.	No, due to lack of visibility.
Dunbeath	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this settlement.	No, due to lack of visibility.
Glengolly	The ZTV indicates that there is visibility from a distance of 11.5 km. This is most likely from properties at the western edge of the settlement.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited visibility on ZTV; • Orientation of settlement around roads and not relating to wider landscape; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Halkirk	The ZTV indicates that there is visibility from a distance of 13.72 km. This is most likely from properties at the western edge of the settlement. Here some views are obscured by woodland and hedges.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility on ZTV; • Enclosure formed by buildings in settlement which limit views out; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Harpsdale	The ZTV indicates that there is visibility of scattered dwellings from a distance of 13 km.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility on ZTV; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Kirtomy	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this settlement.	No, due to lack of visibility.
Latheronwheel	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this settlement.	No, due to lack of visibility.
(Strath Halladale): Melvich, Golval, Upper Bighouse, Dalhalvaig, Cro ick, Trantlebeg, Trantlemore	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from these settlements.	No, due to lack of visibility.
Portskerra	ZTV shows patchy visibility from the western side of the settlement at a distance of 9.97 km from the Revised Consented Development.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility on ZTV; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Reay / Isauld	The ZTV indicates that there is visibility from distances from 2.5 km. This is most likely from properties at the southern edge of the settlement and on higher	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close proximity to the Revised Consented Development • Levels of visibility of the Revised Consented Development

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
	ground to the east and west. Some views at the southern edge are obscured by woodland and hedges. Views from the east are also partly obscured by rising landform and Borlum Hill.	
Olgrinmore	ZTV shows patchy visibility from the northern edge of the settlement at a distance of 11 km from the Revised Consented Development.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility on ZTV; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Scarfskerry	ZTV shows patchy visibility from the eastern side of the settlement at a distance of 31.26 km from the Revised Consented Development.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility on ZTV; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Scrabster	ZTV shows patchy visibility from the extreme north side of the settlement at a distance of 14.5 km from the Revised Consented Development.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility on ZTV; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Shebster	The ZTV indicates that there is visibility from a distance of 3.7 km. Views are from properties oriented west and are over open fields and undulating moorland.	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close proximity to the Revised Consented Development • Levels of visibility of the Revised Consented Development • Close proximity of the existing Baillie Hill Wind Farm
Spittal	The ZTV indicates that there is visibility from a distance of 18.3 km. Views are from properties oriented west and are over open fields and undulating moorland.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited visibility on ZTV; • Orientation out to North Atlantic; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Strathy	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this settlement.	No, due to lack of visibility.
Thurso	ZTV shows patchy visibility from elevated ground at the extreme southwest and the extreme east of the town at a distance of 12.75 km from the Revised Consented Development. Visibility is obscured by surrounding scrub and built form in the foreground.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility on ZTV; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development. • Surrounding vegetation and enclosure of built form.
Watten	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this settlement.	No, due to lack of visibility.
Westfield	The ZTV indicates that there is visibility from a distance of 7.29 km. Here some views are obscured by woodland and hedges.	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility of the Revised Consented Development

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Orientation of parts of the settlements towards the Revised Consented Development
Routes		
A9	<p>The ZTV indicates visibility of the Revised Consented Development along the majority of the route. Full visibility of the Revised Consented Development is seen along two main stretches of the route: at a distance of 15.5 km (9.5 km stretch from south of Mybster to just north of the A882 Junction); and at a distance of 13.5 km (1.5 km stretch south of Thurso). Although there are open views to the Revised Consented Development there are no direct views towards the site along the route.</p>	<p>No; there may be some limited effect on two stretches of the route but this will not be significant due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited extent of the view affected by the Revised Consented Development • Distance from the Revised Consented Development (min 14 km) from where the turbines will constitute minor components in the view • Views predominantly perpendicular to directions of travel.
A836 / North Coast 500	<p>The ZTV indicates visibility of the Revised Consented Development along the majority of the route. Full visibility of the Revised Consented Development is seen along three sections of the route: at a min distance of 2.8 km (11 km stretch from Drum Hollistan to Bridge of Forss); and at a distance of 24.6 km and 32.70 km at Dunnet and East Mey respectively. There are direct views towards the site along the route.</p>	<p>Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur on part of the route, due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility of the Revised Consented Development • Proximity of the Revised Consented Development
A882	<p>The ZTV indicates visibility of the Revised Consented Development from one short stretch of this route (approx 2 km long), from 16.4 km away.</p>	<p>No; there may be some limited effect on two stretches of the route but this will not be significant due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited extent of the view affected by the Revised Consented Development • Distance from the Revised Consented Development (min 16.4 km) from where the turbines will constitute minor components in the view • Transient viewer
A897	<p>The ZTV indicates negligible visibility of the Revised Consented Development from one short stretch of this route from over 19 km away. This section has woodland screening the view.</p>	<p>No, there will be no effect due to negligible visibility and woodland.</p>

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
A99	The ZTV indicates negligible visibility of the Revised Consented Development from one short stretch of this route from over 34 km away. This section has shelterbelt woodland and adjacent buildings obscuring the view.	No, there will be no effect due to negligible visibility and woodland.
B870	The ZTV indicates full visibility of the Revised Consented Development from three sections along the route: A 4.5 km stretch to the southeast, a 3 km stretch to the northeast of the Revised Consented Development at distances from 9.5 km and a 4 km stretch at Mybster at a distance of over 16 km.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited visibility on ZTV; • Screening by vegetation; • The orientation of views to the north and south such that views to the Revised Consented Development are mostly at an oblique angle; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
A949	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this route.	No, due to lack of visibility.
B864	The ZTV indicates visibility of the Revised Consented Development from several stretches of this route from a minimum of 16 km away. Parts of this are blade tip only. Much visibility is screened by woodland, both along the road and further away, and visibility will be limited to brief glimpses.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited visibility on ZTV • Screening by vegetation • Transient viewer • Distance from the Revised Consented Development
B871	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this route.	No, due to lack of visibility.
B873	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this route.	No, due to lack of visibility.
Shebster Minor Road Reay to Thurso	This minor road gains almost continuous visibility along its length coming into relatively close proximity to the Revised Consented Development at the Reay end and Baillie Hill Wind Farm in the middle.	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur on part of the route, due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proximity of the Revised Consented Development • Level of visibility of the Revised Consented Development
National Cycle Route 1 (this route is covered by the A836 and B864)	This route follows the A836 and the B864. The ZTV indicates patchy visibility from the A836 from a minimum of 7.9 km away, and limited, more distant visibility from the B864.	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur on part of the route, due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Level of visibility of the Revised Consented Development • Proximity of the Revised Consented Development

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
Inverness to Wick railway line	The ZTV indicates visibility of the Revised Consented Development from one short stretch of this route (approx 1.7 km), from 17 km away. Visibility is in fact almost completely screened by woodland.	No, there will be no effect due to negligible visibility.
Core Paths		
CA09.01 – Westfield to Achnavast	The core path is routed through a relatively low lying valley. The ZTV indicates that there will be no theoretical visibility for the majority of the Core Path with the exception of the local area close to Westfield where visibility will occur at a minimum distance of 7 km.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited extent of visibility of the Revised Consented Development on ZTV; • Distance of core path from the Revised Consented Development; • Closer range visibility and more notable influence relating to Baillie Hill wind farm.
CA09.02 – Achscrabster Quarries	The ZTV indicates that there will be theoretical visibility across the western half of the core path but no visibility across the eastern half, which passes through a disused quarry. Visibility will occur at a minimum distance of 7.5 km.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited extent of visibility of Revised Consented Development on ZTV; • Distance of core path from the Revised Consented Development; • Closer range visibility and more notable influence relating to Baillie Hill wind farm.
CA11.01 – Broubster Forest	The ZTV indicates that theoretical visibility will occur across the majority of the core path with the exception of the short section to the west of Broubster where there will be no visibility. Where theoretical visibility occurs, the core path will be enclosed by the cover of the Broubster Forest.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited visibility owing to enclosure of forest cover.
CA11.02 – Achvarasdalen Woodland	The ZTV indicates that 16-20 of the turbines are theoretically visible. The location of the core path in mature woodland will reduce actual visibility with the exception of the open section which lies on the western side of the grounds.	Yes, the open views from the western section means that the Revised Consented Development will be visible at a distance of 2.5 km.
CA11.03 – Limekiln Forest	The ZTV indicates that theoretical visibility will occur across the full extent of the core path. While actual visibility will be reduced across much of the extent owing to forest cover, the proximity of the core path to the Revised	Yes, the close proximity of the core path to the Revised Consented Development means that despite the forest cover, components of the Revised Consented Development will be visible from openings and above the treetops.

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
	Consented Development means that components will be visible in openings and above the tree tops.	
CA11.04 – Sandside Head	The ZTV shows that 21-24 turbines will be theoretically visible from this section of open coast, and seen at a minimum distance of 4 km. The Revised Consented Development will be located outwith the principal orientation of the coast towards the north-east and north.	Yes, despite the principal orientation of the view seawards, the relative proximity of the core path and its open views landward means that there is the potential for a significant effect to arise.
CA11.05 – Achins / Helshetter	The ZTV shows that 21-24 turbines will be theoretically visible from this short section of core path, and seen at a minimum distance of 1.7 km. The Revised Consented Development will be located within the cover of Limekiln Forest.	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur on the core path, due to the level of visibility of the Revised Consented Development and its proximity to the core path.
CA11.06 – Reay Roadside Link	The ZTV shows that 21-24 turbines will be theoretically visible from this short section of core path, which coincides with the A836 and seen at a minimum distance of 2.7 km.	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur on the core path, due to the level of visibility of the Revised Consented Development from the A836, despite the views occurring perpendicular to the direction of movement.
CA11.07 – Reay Golf Course via Mary's Cottage	The ZTV shows that 21-24 turbines will be theoretically visible from this short section of core path, and seen at a minimum distance of 2.8 km.	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur on the core path, due to the relative openness of views from the golf course and the level of visibility of the Revised Consented Development as a result.
CA11.08 – Reay Golf Course via Clubhouse	The ZTV indicates that 21-24 turbines will be theoretically visible from this short section of core path, and seen at a minimum distance of 2.6 km.	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur due to the relative openness of views from the golf course and the level of visibility of the Revised Consented Development as a result.
CA11.09 – Borlum Circuit	The ZTV indicates that 21-24 turbines will be theoretically visible from this core path circuit, and seen at a minimum distance of 2.2 km.	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur due to the relative openness of the views and the proximity of the core path to the Revised Consented Development.
CA11.10 – Achvarasdal East Drive	The ZTV indicates that 16-20 of the turbines are theoretically visible. There will be a relatively open aspect towards the eastern part of the Revised Consented Development, while the western part will be partly screened by the	Yes, there is potential for a significant effect to occur due to the relative openness of views from the core path and the level of visibility of the Revised Consented Development as a result.

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
	mature tree cover associated with Achvarasdal.	
CA13.14 – Cnoc Freiceadain Cairns	The ZTV indicates that there will be no visibility for the majority of this short core path with the exception of the higher western end from which 21-25 turbines will be visible. The core path lies in close proximity to Baillie Hill wind farm.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Very limited extent of core path from which visibility of the Revised Consented Development will occur; • Closer range visibility and more notable influence relating to Baillie Hill wind farm.
CA13.15 – Brims Ness	The ZTV indicates that there will be theoretical visibility of 1-5 turbines along the core path but that the extent to which the Revised Consented Development will be visible, will be limited to a few blade tips. Visibility will occur at a minimum distance of 10.6 km.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Limited extent of actual visibility of the Revised Consented Development; •Distance of core path from the Revised Consented Development.
CA13.16 – Forss Roadside	The ZTV indicates that there will be theoretical visibility along the core path but that the extent to which the Revised Consented Development will be visible, will be limited. Actual visibility along the western half will be reduced by mature tree cover. Visibility will occur at a minimum distance of 8.5 km.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Limited extent of actual visibility of the Revised Consented Development; •Distance of core path from the Revised Consented Development; •Closer range visibility and more notable influence relating to Baillie Hill wind farm.
CA13.25 – St Mary’s Chapel	The ZTV shows that there will be no visibility.	No, there will be no effect due to no visibility of the Revised Consented Development.
CA13.27 - Forss Wind Farm	The ZTV shows that 21-24 turbines will be theoretically visible from this short core path loop, and seen at a minimum distance of 7.8 km.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Distance of core path from the Revised Consented Development; •Closer range visibility and more notable influence relating to Forss wind farm.
Other features/attractions		
Ben Alisky	Wireline shows very limited visibility of the Revised Consented Development from 22 km away.	No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility of the Revised Consented Development; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Ben Dorrery	See Viewpoint 9 for description.	
Ben Griam Beg / Mor	The ZTV indicates that there would be visibility on the upper north facing slopes and summit from a minimum distance of 23.71 km.	No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited vertical impact of the Revised Consented Development and containment below the skyline; • The small proportion of the panoramic view that will be affected;

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Location of the Revised Consented Development in a relatively unremarkable part of the outlook; • Distance of the Revised Consented Development from the viewpoint, which ensures that the parts of turbines that are visible will be minor components in the view.
Beinn Ratha	See Viewpoint 15 for description.	
Beinn Ruadh	12.6 km limited visibility to the eastern side of the hill with 11 to 15 of the turbines visible.	<p>No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility of the Revised Consented Development; • Distance from the Revised Consented Development.
Dunnet Head	See Viewpoint 13 for description.	
Eilean Nan Ron	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this island.	No, due to lack of visibility.
Maiden Pap	The ZTV indicates that there is distant visibility from the summit.	<p>No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited vertical impact of the Revised Consented Development and containment below the skyline; • The small proportion of the panoramic view that will be affected; • Location of the Revised Consented Development in a relatively unremarkable part of the outlook; • The eastern focal point of Ben Klibreck will not be affected; • Distance of the Revised Consented Development from the viewpoint, which ensures that the parts of turbines that are visible will be minor components in the view.
Morven Hill	The ZTV indicates that there would be visibility on the upper north facing slopes and summit from a minimum distance of 31.17 km.	<p>No; there may be some limited effect but this will not be significant due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited vertical impact of the Revised Consented Development and containment below the skyline; • The small proportion of the panoramic view that will be affected; • Location of the Revised Consented Development in a relatively unremarkable part of the outlook; • Distance of the Revised Consented Development from the viewpoint, which ensures that the parts of turbines that are visible will be minor components in the view.
Neave or Coomb Island	The ZTV indicates that there is no visibility of the Revised Consented Development from this island.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No, due to lack of visibility.
Scaraben	Wireline shows very limited visibility of the Revised Consented Development from 33 km away.	<p>No; there may be some very limited effect but this will not be significant due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very limited visibility of the Revised Consented Development

Principal Visual Receptor	Comments	Further assessment required?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Distance from the Revised Consented Development
Strathy Point	See Viewpoint 7 for description.	